DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

Docket No. 7237.3001.001

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

(b) I believe I am the origination inventor (if plural naise sought on the invention the specification or invention or invention.	linal, first and sole inver nes are listed below) o entitled <u>BOAT TRAI</u> f which	nship are as stated below next to my name. ntor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and if the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent ILER TUG			
(check one) [X] is		an Application Cariel No.			
LJ	and was amended	, as Application Serial No, l on(if applicable).			
(c) I hereby state that I including the claims if including the application to be co	have reviewed and un uded, as amended by	derstand the contents of the above identified specification, any amendment referred to above, and believe the contents			
(d) I acknowledge the di Code of Federal Regulation	uty to disclose informations, §1.56, copy attach	tion which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, ed.			
application(s) for patent (or inventor's certificated	nder Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign (s) listed below and have also identified below any foreign aving a filing date before that of the application on which			
Prior Foreign Application(s)	Priority Claimed			
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year filed)			
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year filed)			
disclosed in the prior U.S §112, I acknowledge the	and, insofar as the subscript application in the mare duty to disclose mate occurred between the	nited States Code, §119 (e) or §120 of any United States abject matter of each of the claims of this application is not oner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, U.S. Code, erial information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT			
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status-patented, pending, abandoned)			
hereby appoint as my a application and transact a	attorneys with full pow	ver of substitution, jointly and severally, to prosecute this d States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith			

the following individual members and/or associates and/or counsel of the firm of:

REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE & LEARMAN, P.C.

5291 Colony Drive North Saginaw, Michigan 48603 Telephone (989) 799-5300

Fax: (989) 792-8585

E.J. Biskup R.C. Collins J.C. Evans R.L. Farris F.J. Fodale W.H. Francis	27,430 20,124 25,112	W.H. Griffith A.M. Grove G.A. Grove E.T. Jones J.F. Learman	39,697 23,023	J.P. Moran S.L. Permut B.L. Ribando M.J. Schmidt W.J. Schramm	28,388 27,109 43,904	J.D. Stevens S.B. Walmsley W.J. Waugaman C.R. White J.D. Wright	35,691 48,021 20,304 20,494 49,095
---	----------------------------	---	------------------	---	----------------------------	---	--

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:

Reising, Ethington, Barnes, Kisselle & Learman, P.C. 5291 Colony Drive North Saginaw, Michigan 48603

Robert L. Farris (989) 799-5300

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Signature

Date:

Full Name: Residence:

City, State,

Zip:

Stockton, Kansas 67669

Country: U.S.A. Citizenship: U.S.A.

P.O. Address:

1665 Highway 24

Donald Reichard

1665 Highway 24

Stockton, Kansas 67669

§1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application; and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.

(35 U.S.C. 6, Pub. L. 97-247)

[42 FR 5593. Jan. 28, 1977, as amended at 47 FR 21751, May 19, 1982; 48 FR 2710, Jan. 20, 1983; 49 FR 554, Jan. 4, 1984; 50 FR 5171, Feb. 6, 1985; 53 FR 47808, Nov. 28, 1988, effective Jan. 1, 1989; 57 FR 2034, January 17, 1992, effective March 6, 1992]